# SECTION 4 – DATA FORMS

## General Overview

The purpose of the Data Forms is to assist each local program evaluating their program needs, performance, and trends.

**I.      Examples of Children Helped Through CMS**

A minimum of five examples of children helped through each of the CCS, CHDP, and HCPCFC programs should be gathered **over the course of the fiscal year**. The examples of children helped should represent a diversity of age, gender, ethnicity, risk factors, disease entity, interventions, and treatments. For children helped through the CCS program, the care coordination activities should reflect those carried out **during the previous fiscal year**.

The actual outcomes of intervention with the child/family assist in showing how the CMS programs serve and benefit the lives of families and children in the community. Elements of a good example of children helped through CMS program reflect claimable administrative case management or care coordination activities. Elements of a good example as applicable by programs, such as:

- Promotion of preventive health services

- Coordination among agencies and avoidance of duplication

- Coordination with clinical/community resources

- Provision of guidance to the family, caregiver, caseworker, health care provider that promotes continuity of care

- Description of outcomes resulting from interventions

- Demonstration of use of the Health and Education Passport

- Demonstration of follow through with the family, caregiver, caseworker, health care provider until resolution

- Reflection of the time needed for problem resolution

**II.     CCS Caseload Summary**

The data collected on this form are used to report the actual CCS caseload and demonstrate trends in the caseload over time.

**III.    CHDP Program Case Management Data**

The data collected can be used as a work load indicator, to enhance collaboration with the Department of Social Services eligibility workers, to provide feedback to Managed Care Plan Liaisons, and to measure the percent of children getting follow-up care.

**IV. Quarterly Report of Medi-Cal Recipients Requesting CHDP Services (See page 10-103 for form)**

During the Fiscal Year, a quarterly report will be submitted by the 15th day following the end of each quarter to the CMS Branch showing the number of CalWORKS and Medi-Cal Only persons requesting CHDP services. This assists the CMS Branch in meeting the California's Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Treatment (EPSDT) federal requirement.

**V. CHDP First Grade Health Exams by School Year (See page 11-13)**

As reported to the state on CHDP Annual School Report (PM 272) (see page 11-11), the Reports of Health Examinations for School Entry (PM 171 A) data demonstrate trends over time, and, with the specific district forms, can be used to identify areas where increased program emphases are needed to improve health assessment services for children entering school.

**VI. Additional Data**

Additional data are used to evaluate the staffing requirements for the CHDP and HCPCFC programs.

- From the CHDP Reports available online through the **Business Objects Reporting System** (http://dhsreports.dhs.ca.gov/). For information on accessing the system refer to CHDP Program Letter No. 03-08.

    o *CHDP Annual Summary of Screens by Funding Source For Fiscal Year*

    o *CHDP Monthly Summary of Screens by Funding Source For Month o XX-200Xf*

    o *CHDP Provider Claims and Amounts Paid by County and Funding Source*

    o *Active CHDP Providers by County and Provider Name*

- From the CMS Branch **Data Analysis, Research, and Evaluation (DARE) Unit***:*

    o *CHDP Target Population Estimate for Fiscal Year 2003-04 (see page 4-8) and 2004-05 (see page 4-10)*

- From the **California Department of Social Services, Research and Development Division**:

    o Monthly reports available online at
      http://www.dss.cahwnet.gov/research/children's 405.htm

      *CWS/CMS1 – Child Welfare Services/Case Management System-Foster Care Children by Placement*

      This report includes information by placement in-county, out-of-county, and out-of-state.

*CWS/CMS2 – Child Welfare Services/Case Management System –*
*Characteristics of Children in Out-of-Home Care*

This report provides information on the characteristics of the children in out-of-home placement, including age, gender, ethnicity, type of placement home, funding source, agency responsible, number of cases that were terminated and reason for termination.

o       Annual Average Out-of-Home Placement Caseload Data (see page 4-14)

## Examples of Children Helped Through CMS

Using the general instructions and elements of a good example on page 4-1, submit a minimum of five examples for each applicable program: CCS, CHDP, or HCPCFC. Please specify the county/city, program name, and fiscal year.

**County/City:**

**Program:**    ☐CCS    ☐CHDP    ☐HCPCFC    **Fiscal Year:**

**Child (Initials, Age, Ethnicity, Type of Placement) and Health Services Needed:**

**Intervention and Coordination of Care:**

**Results that Demonstrate the Outcome or Effect for the Child and Family:**

## California Children's Services Caseload Summary Instructions

**County:** _____     **Fiscal Year:** _____

The purpose of submission of the CCS Caseload Summary is to demonstrate the caseload count changes in the county CCS program during the three previous fiscal years. The CCS Caseload Summary demonstrates CCS county workload activity on all cases, whether determined CCS eligible or not. The CCS Caseload Summary shows program participation (Medi-Cal and Non Medi-Cal; Non Medi-Cal caseload includes Healthy Families and all other CCS cases) and is defined as the number of all open (active) CCS cases plus the number of potential CCS cases.

Beginning FY 2003-04, the CCS Caseload format (see page 4-7) adds Healthy Families cases along with Medi-Cal and Non Medi-Cal CCS to appropriately reflect program participation in the caseload. To assist counties in determining caseload using this format, the rows on the CCS Caseload Summary have been labeled using numbers 1 to 11, and the columns have been labeled using letters A to B.

To complete this report, caseload data are collected from the CCS Quarterly Administrative Invoices for each fiscal year to be reported. The four quarters of the fiscal year are totaled and divided by four to gain the yearly average CCS Caseload.

### Caseload Determination (for each fiscal year requested)

1. Add the average open (active) caseload number for all quarterly invoices from the previous fiscal year and divide by four.

2. Determine the number of potential cases by:

   a. An actual count of potential cases assigned a temporary number if the county CCS program is using CMS Net, or

   b. An actual count of potential cases if the county CCS program has a method for assigning a temporary number when the county is not on CMS Net, or

   c. An estimate of potential cases may be used based on the county's experience.

3. Medi-Cal

   Add the average total open (average) caseload (row 1, column A) to the potential cases (row 2, column A) to get the Total Medi-Cal caseload (row 3, column A).

4. Non Medi-Cal

   a. Add the average total open (active) caseload (row 4, column A) to the potential cases (row 5, column A) to get the Total Healthy Families caseload (row 6, column A). **NOTE:** Healthy Families data may not be available for some counties for one or more of the requested fiscal years, in which case use zeros.

b. Add the average total open (active) caseload (row 7, column A) to the potential cases (row 8, column A) to get the Total Straight CCS (row 9, column A).

c. Add Total Healthy Families (row 6, column A) to the Straight CCS caseload (row 9, column A) to get the Total Non Medi-Cal caseload (row 10, column A).

5. Grand Total

Add Total Medi-Cal (row 3, column A), to Total Non Medi-Cal (row 10, column A), and place the result in row 11, column A.

6. Determine the total Medi-Cal and Non Medi-Cal percentage split:

a. Medi-Cal: Divide row 3, column A, by the Grand Total in row 11, column A. The resulting percentage is placed in row 3, column B.

b. Non Medi-Cal: Divide row 10, column A by the Grand Total in row 11, column A. The resulting percentage is placed in row 10, column B.

c. Add the percentages in row 3, column B added to row 10, column B and place the result in row 11, column B (will equal 100 percent).

# California Children's Services Caseload Summary Form

**County:** _____          **Fiscal Year:** _____

| CCS Caseload 0 to 21 Years | A<br>00-01 Actual Caseload | B<br>% of Grand Total | 01-02 Actual Caseload | % of Grand Total | 02-03 Actual Caseload | % of Grand Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **MEDI-CAL** | | | | | | |
| **1** Average of Total Open (Active) Medi-Cal Children | | | | | | |
| **2** Potential Case Medi-Cal | | | | | | |
| **3** TOTAL MEDI-CAL (Row 1 + Row 2) | | | | | | |
| **NON MEDI-CAL** | | | | | | |
| **Healthy Families** | | | | | | |
| **4** Average of Total Open (Active) Healthy Families | | | | | | |
| **5** Potential Cases Healthy Families | | | | | | |
| **6** Total Healthy Families (Row 4 + Row 5) | | | | | | |
| **Straight CCS** | | | | | | |
| **7** Average of Total Open (Active) Straight CCS Children | | | | | | |
| **8** Potential Cases Straight CCS Children | | | | | | |
| **9** Total Straight CCS (Row 7 + Row 8) | | | | | | |
| **10** TOTAL NON MEDI-CAL (Row 6 + Row 9) | | | | | | |
| **GRAND TOTAL** | | | | | | |
| **11** (Row 3 + Row 10) | | | | | | |

## CHDP Program Case Management Data

Complete the form using all data currently available. Where data are not available, please attach explanation. If your program collects any other data regarding the numbers and types of contacts made or attempted or other measures of your workload and related outcome data, please feel free to attach this information in whatever format you currently collect it.

| County/City: | FY 00-01 | | FY 01-02 | | FY 02-03 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| A.    Total number of CalWORKS/Medi-Cal cases informed and determined eligible by Department of Social Services (Data Source: Department of Social Services). | | | | | | |
| B.    Total number of cases and recipients in "A" requesting CHDP services. This number should match the annual summary of the Report of Medi-Cal Recipients Requesting CHDP Services and "C" (Data Source: PM 357 or State-approved equivalent form). | Cases | Recipients | Cases | Recipients | Cases | Recipients |
| 1.    Number of CalWORKS cases/recipients | | | | | | |
| 2.    Number of Foster Care cases/recipients | | | | | | |
| 3.    Number of Medi-Cal only case/recipients | | | | | | |
| C.    Total number of EPSDT eligible recipients and unborn, referred by Department of Social Services' workers who requested the following (Data Source: PM 357 or State-approved equivalent form): | | | | | | |
| 1.    Medical and/or dental services | | | | | | |
| 2.    Medical and/or dental services with scheduling and/or transportation | | | | | | |
| 3.    Information only (optional) | | | | | | |
| D.    Number of persons who were contacted by telephone, home visit, face-to-face, office visit, or written response to outreach letter. A successful contact is defined as a response that is received "face-to-face, ear-to-ear, or pen-to-pen" from the recipient. | | | | | | |
| E.    1.    Total number of persons actually provided scheduling and/or transportation assistance by program staff. | | | | | | |
| 2.    Total number of persons in "E1" who actually received medical and/or dental services. | | | | | | |
| F.    1.    Total number of CHDP health assessments (PM 160)* indicating a need for further diagnosis and treatment (Codes 4 or 5 entered on the PM 160). *This excludes Medi-Cal Managed Care Information Only PM 160s. | Medi-Cal | Non-MC | Medi-Cal | Non-MC | Medi-Cal | Non-MC |
| 2.    Total number of children in "F1" where the follow-up appointments were kept. | | | | | | |

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICE
CHILDREN MEDICAL SERVICES
CHILD HEALTH AND DISABILITY PREVENTION (CHDP) PROGRAM
TABLE 2-2
FY 2003-2004 TARGET POPULATION ESTIMATE

| County | Medi-Cal Under 21 | Medi-Cal Percent | CHDP Gateway Under 19 | CHDP Gateway Percent | Total Children |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ALAMEDA | 81,713 | 62.7% | 48,656 | 37.3% | 130,369 |
| ALPINE | 126 | 73.6% | 45 | 26.4% | 171 |
| AMADOR | 1,343 | 55.9% | 1,057 | 44.1% | 2,400 |
| BUTTE | 21,285 | 65.4% | 11,264 | 34.6% | 32,549 |
| CALAVERAS | 2,449 | 57.2% | 1,829 | 42.8% | 4,278 |
| COLUSA | 2,205 | 53.3% | 1,933 | 46.7% | 4,138 |
| CONTRA COSTA | 44,103 | 65.1% | 23,593 | 34.9% | 67,696 |
| DEL NORTE | 3,446 | 64.5% | 1,895 | 35.5% | 5,341 |
| EL DORADO | 5,810 | 47.5% | 6,433 | 52.5% | 12,243 |
| FRESNO | 135,442 | 68.9% | 61,130 | 31.1% | 196,572 |
| GLENN | 3,037 | 55.6% | 2,425 | 44.4% | 5,462 |
| HUMBOLDT | 11,160 | 62.6% | 6,654 | 37.4% | 17,814 |
| IMPERIAL | 20,534 | 57.5% | 15,183 | 42.5% | 35,717 |
| INYO | 1,228 | 57.2% | 919 | 42.8% | 2,147 |
| KERN | 89,115 | 64.6% | 48,818 | 35.4% | 137,933 |
| KINGS | 14,746 | 57.6% | 10,852 | 42.4% | 25,598 |
| LAKE | 6,201 | 65.2% | 3,310 | 34.8% | 9,511 |
| LASSEN | 2,327 | 59.5% | 1,581 | 40.5% | 3,908 |
| LOS ANGELES | 1,115,227 | 68.8% | 505,446 | 31.2% | 1,620,673 |
| MADERA | 16,458 | 64.4% | 9,080 | 35.6% | 25,538 |
| MARIN | 4,762 | 51.7% | 4,444 | 48.3% | 9,206 |
| MARIPOSA | 1,066 | 57.0% | 804 | 43.0% | 1,870 |
| MENDOCINO | 8,418 | 63.1% | 4,918 | 36.9% | 13,336 |
| MERCED | 35,276 | 64.9% | 19,046 | 35.1% | 54,322 |
| MODOC | 928 | 60.7% | 600 | 39.3% | 1,528 |
| MONO | 506 | 52.3% | 461 | 47.7% | 967 |
| MONTEREY | 33,403 | 56.9% | 25,327 | 43.1% | 58,730 |
| NAPA | 4,898 | 54.3% | 4,129 | 45.7% | 9,027 |
| NEVADA | 3,092 | 48.9% | 3,230 | 51.1% | 6,322 |
| ORANGE | 156,313 | 61.9% | 96,118 | 38.1% | 252,431 |
| PLACER | 7,359 | 46.4% | 8,485 | 53.6% | 15,844 |
| PLUMAS | 1,180 | 55.3% | 953 | 44.7% | 2,133 |
| RIVERSIDE | 128,782 | 59.7% | 86,828 | 40.3% | 215,610 |
| SACRAMENTO | 134,228 | 70.5% | 56,233 | 29.5% | 190,461 |
| SAN BENITO | 3,000 | 51.7% | 2,800 | 48.3% | 5,800 |
| SAN BERNARDINO | 180,519 | 64.0% | 101,642 | 36.0% | 282,161 |
| SAN DIEGO | 167,508 | 54.2% | 141,345 | 45.8% | 308,853 |
| SAN FRANCISCO | 36,558 | 58.1% | 26,409 | 41.9% | 62,967 |
| SAN JOAQUIN | 63,629 | 63.9% | 35,885 | 36.1% | 99,514 |
| SAN LUIS OBISPO | 12,032 | 55.1% | 9,808 | 44.9% | 21,840 |
| SAN MATEO | 20,280 | 53.8% | 17,443 | 46.2% | 37,723 |
| SANTA BARBARA | 29,148 | 62.9% | 17,210 | 37.1% | 46,358 |
| SANTA CLARA | 70,391 | 59.1% | 48,811 | 40.9% | 119,202 |
| SANTA CRUZ | 13,333 | 57.0% | 10,062 | 43.0% | 23,395 |
| SHASTA | 16,173 | 61.4% | 10,154 | 38.6% | 26,327 |
| SIERRA | 176 | 55.9% | 139 | 44.1% | 315 |
| SISKIYOU | 4,274 | 61.1% | 2,724 | 38.9% | 6,998 |
| SOLANO | 22,898 | 59.9% | 15,357 | 40.1% | 38,255 |
| SONOMA | 17,465 | 53.0% | 15,458 | 47.0% | 32,923 |
| STANISLAUS | 50,591 | 62.5% | 30,369 | 37.5% | 80,960 |
| SUTTER | 7,895 | 61.0% | 5,040 | 39.0% | 12,935 |

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICE
CHILDREN MEDICAL SERVICES
CHILD HEALTH AND DISABILITY PREVENTION (CHDP) PROGRAM
TABLE 2-2
FY 2003-2004 TARGET POPULATION ESTIMATE

| County | Medi-Cal Under 21 | Medi-Cal Percent | CHDP Gateway Under 19 | CHDP Gateway Percent | Total Children |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| TEHAMA | 6,090 | 61.8% | 3,761 | 38.2% | 9,851 |
| TRINITY | 1,032 | 57.0% | 780 | 43.0% | 1,812 |
| TULARE | 64,706 | 66.8% | 32,171 | 33.2% | 96,877 |
| TUOLUMNE | 3,027 | 57.0% | 2,284 | 43.0% | 5,311 |
| VENTURA | 44,772 | 63.0% | 26,300 | 37.0% | 71,072 |
| YOLO | 12,409 | 60.8% | 8,010 | 39.2% | 20,419 |
| YUBA | 9,090 | 59.1% | 6,293 | 40.9% | 15,383 |
| CITY OF BERKELEY | 6,185 | 62.7% | 3,683 | 37.3% | 9,867 |
| CIRY OF LONG BEACH | 57,269 | 68.8% | 25,954 | 31.2% | 83,223 |
| CITY OF PASADENA | 16,802 | 68.9% | 7,573 | 31.1% | 24,375 |
| **TOTAL** | **3,035,418** | **64.4%** | **1,681,146** | **35.6%** | **4,716,564** |

**Definitions**

Columns 1 and 2: Medi-Cal refers to number of children and the percent of children, up to 21 years of age, who are enrolled in the Medi-Cal program and have an assigned Medi-Cal aid code.

Columns 3 and 4: CHDP Gateway refers to the number of children and percent of children who are under age 19 and in low-income families who are presumptively eligible for Medi-Cal through CHDP Gateway enrollment.

**Data Sources and Notes for Medi-Cal Target Population**

Medi-Cal target population derived from Medical Care Statistics, Department of Health Services, Table 17, Medi-Cal Program Persons Certified Eligible by County, Sex, and Age, October 2001.

Medi-Cal Funded Births by Beneficiary County: Medi-Cal funded deliveries, Calender year 2000, Table 7.

**Data Sources and Notes for CHDP Gateway Target Population**

Poverty level between 100-200% FPL used was 1990 Census.

Finance Department, Demographic information, data file, 2002.

The numbers derived from population estimates for 1/1/2002 by the Department of Finance in its report E-1Table. Berkeley=0.070362, Alameda=0.9296381, Long Beach=0.04815, Pasadena=0.014127, Los Angeles=0.937772.

4/30/2003

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICE
CHILDREN MEDICAL SERVICES
CHILD HEALTH AND DISABILITY PREVENTION (CHDP) PROGRAM
TABLE 2-2
FY 2004-2005 TARGET POPULATION ESTIMATE

| County | Medi-Cal Under 21 | Medi-Cal Under 21 Percent | CHDP Gateway Under 19 | CHDP Gateway Under 19 Percent | Total Children |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ALAMEDA | 83,361 | 65.3% | 44,207 | 34.7% | 127,568 |
| ALPINE | 134 | 74.6% | 46 | 25.4% | 180 |
| AMADOR | 1,493 | 63.1% | 872 | 36.9% | 2,365 |
| BUTTE | 22,769 | 68.4% | 10,499 | 31.6% | 33,268 |
| CALAVERAS | 2,639 | 60.1% | 1,753 | 39.9% | 4,392 |
| COLUSA | 2,325 | 56.7% | 1,775 | 43.3% | 4,100 |
| CONTRA COSTA | 46,068 | 66.1% | 23,663 | 33.9% | 69,731 |
| DEL NORTE | 3,686 | 68.1% | 1,730 | 31.9% | 5,416 |
| EL DORADO | 6,119 | 52.7% | 5,490 | 47.3% | 11,609 |
| FRESNO | 138,768 | 69.4% | 61,061 | 30.6% | 199,829 |
| GLENN | 3,137 | 54.0% | 2,677 | 46.0% | 5,814 |
| HUMBOLDT | 11,405 | 64.3% | 6,342 | 35.7% | 17,747 |
| IMPERIAL | 21,301 | 57.5% | 15,738 | 42.5% | 37,039 |
| INYO | 1,271 | 61.1% | 810 | 38.9% | 2,081 |
| KERN | 95,436 | 65.1% | 51,137 | 34.9% | 146,573 |
| KINGS | 15,591 | 59.7% | 10,528 | 40.3% | 26,119 |
| LAKE | 6,406 | 63.3% | 3,711 | 36.7% | 10,117 |
| LASSEN | 2,309 | 61.0% | 1,478 | 39.0% | 3,787 |
| LOS ANGELES | 1,197,294 | 68.7% | 546,073 | 31.3% | 1,743,367 |
| MADERA | 17,793 | 66.3% | 9,057 | 33.7% | 26,850 |
| MARIN | 5,421 | 55.8% | 4,293 | 44.2% | 9,714 |
| MARIPOSA | 1,113 | 58.2% | 801 | 41.8% | 1,914 |
| MENDOCINO | 9,122 | 66.1% | 4,688 | 33.9% | 13,810 |
| MERCED | 36,321 | 66.7% | 18,146 | 33.3% | 54,467 |
| MODOC | 1,094 | 66.0% | 563 | 34.0% | 1,657 |
| MONO | 580 | 55.2% | 471 | 44.8% | 1,051 |
| MONTEREY | 37,964 | 59.7% | 25,649 | 40.3% | 63,613 |
| NAPA | 5,395 | 55.8% | 4,266 | 44.2% | 9,661 |
| NEVADA | 3,461 | 51.3% | 3,280 | 48.7% | 6,741 |
| ORANGE | 173,241 | 57.8% | 126,558 | 42.2% | 299,799 |
| PLACER | 8,121 | 53.2% | 7,131 | 46.8% | 15,252 |
| PLUMAS | 1,268 | 64.3% | 703 | 35.7% | 1,971 |
| RIVERSIDE | 138,559 | 58.5% | 98,346 | 41.5% | 236,905 |
| SACRAMENTO | 136,220 | 70.7% | 56,471 | 29.3% | 192,691 |
| SAN BENITO | 3,357 | 56.1% | 2,624 | 43.9% | 5,981 |
| SAN BERNARDINO | 191,254 | 61.8% | 118,255 | 38.2% | 309,509 |
| SAN DIEGO | 173,494 | 53.9% | 148,580 | 46.1% | 322,074 |
| SAN FRANCISCO | 37,883 | 64.5% | 20,850 | 35.5% | 58,733 |
| SAN JOAQUIN | 67,351 | 67.0% | 33,236 | 33.0% | 100,587 |
| SAN LUIS OBISPO | 12,420 | 55.6% | 9,924 | 44.4% | 22,344 |
| SAN MATEO | 23,813 | 57.2% | 17,812 | 42.8% | 41,625 |
| SANTA BARBARA | 30,685 | 60.9% | 19,711 | 39.1% | 50,396 |
| SANTA CLARA | 80,397 | 64.1% | 44,983 | 35.9% | 125,380 |
| SANTA CRUZ | 14,512 | 58.7% | 10,203 | 41.3% | 24,715 |
| SHASTA | 16,408 | 64.1% | 9,199 | 35.9% | 25,607 |
| SIERRA | 204 | 64.4% | 113 | 35.6% | 317 |
| SISKIYOU | 4,390 | 65.5% | 2,315 | 34.5% | 6,705 |
| SOLANO | 23,947 | 60.6% | 15,568 | 39.4% | 39,515 |

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICE
CHILDREN MEDICAL SERVICES
CHILD HEALTH AND DISABILITY PREVENTION (CHDP) PROGRAM
TABLE 2-2
FY 2004-2005 TARGET POPULATION ESTIMATE

| County | Medi-Cal Under 21 | Medi-Cal Under 21 Percent | CHDP Gateway Under 19 | CHDP Gateway Under 19 Percent | Total Children |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| SONOMA | 19,437 | 56.3% | 15,105 | 43.7% | 34,542 |
| STANISLAUS | 54,277 | 63.7% | 30,911 | 36.3% | 85,188 |
| SUTTER | 8,320 | 62.5% | 4,995 | 37.5% | 13,315 |
| TEHAMA | 6,607 | 66.3% | 3,362 | 33.7% | 9,969 |
| TRINITY | 1,069 | 61.9% | 657 | 38.1% | 1,726 |
| TULARE | 68,068 | 68.1% | 31,833 | 31.9% | 99,901 |
| TUOLUMNE | 3,122 | 61.7% | 1,936 | 38.3% | 5,058 |
| VENTURA | 47,828 | 62.0% | 29,334 | 38.0% | 77,162 |
| YOLO | 13,462 | 63.0% | 7,908 | 37.0% | 21,370 |
| YUBA | 9,501 | 62.6% | 5,669 | 37.4% | 15,170 |
| CITY OF BERKELEY | 6,266 | 65.3% | 3,323 | 34.7% | 9,589 |
| CITY OF LONG BEACH | 61,551 | 68.7% | 28,073 | 31.3% | 89,624 |
| CITY OF PASADENA | 18,197 | 68.7% | 8,299 | 31.3% | 26,496 |
| TOTAL | 3,235,005 | 64.6% | 1,774,791 | 35.4% | 5,009,796 |

**Definitions**

Columns 1 and 2: Medi-Cal refers to number of children and the percent of children, up to 21 years of age, who are enrolled in the Medi-Cal Program and have an assigned Medi-Cal aid code.

Columns 3 and 4: CHDP Gateway refers to the number of children and percent of children who are under 19 years of age and in low-income families who are presumptively eligible for Medi-Cal through CHDP Gateway pre-enrollment.

**Data Sources and Notes for Medi-Cal Target Population**

Medi-Cal target population derived from Medical Care Statistics, Department of Health Services, www.dhs.ca.gov/ffdmb/mcss/PublishedReports/annual/annual.htm, Calendar year 2001: Table 17, Medi-Cal Program Persons Certified Eligible by

Medi-Cal Funded Births by Beneficiary County:
(www.dhs.ca.gov/mcss/PublishedReports/publicat.htm)
Medi-Cal Funded Deliveries, Calendar year 2001, Table 12

**Data Sources and Notes for CHDP Gateway Target Population**

State funded target population: Finance Dept., Demographic information, data file (www.dof.ca.gov/HTML/DEMOGRAP/data.htm), 2003.txt and select age under 19 years

Poverty Level between 100-200 percent values from the Census 2000.

The numbers derived from population estimates for cities of Berkeley, Pasadena and Long Beach located: http://www.dof.ca.gov/HTML/FS_DATA/STAT-ABS/Toc_xls.htm Population Table B-4 (2003). The percentage for estimation of target population for the three cities are: 0.0699 for Berkeley (Alameda County: 0.9301), 0.0482 for Long Beach and 0.0142 for Pasadena City (Los Angeles County: 0.9376).

Prepared by Helen Zheng

1/28/2004

## HCPCFC AVERAGE ANNUAL CASELOAD
## FISCAL YEAR 2004 - 2005
## BY COUNTY/CITY PROGRAM IN DESCENDING ORDER

| County/City Program | 1.03 - 12.03 Caseload (See Notes) | County/City Program | 1.03 - 12.03 Caseload (See Notes) |
|---|---|---|---|
|  |  |  |  |
| Los Angeles | 30,783 | Santa Barbara | 433 |
| San Bernardino | 8,337 | Yuba | 414 |
| Riverside | 7,270 | Santa Cruz | 387 |
| San Diego | 6,753 | El Dorado | 373 |
| Sacramento | 5,892 | Sutter | 331 |
| Alameda | 4,676 | Calaveras | 321 |
| Orange | 4,430 | Humboldt | 309 |
| Fresno | 3,604 | Napa | 305 |
| Kern | 3,253 | Tehama | 297 |
| Contra Costa | 2,995 | Marin | 271 |
| Santa Clara | 2,663 | Lake | 240 |
| San Francisco | 2,504 | Nevada | 218 |
| San Joaquin | 2,397 | Tuolumne | 178 |
| Tulare | 1,570 | San Benito | 174 |
| Stanislaus | 1,358 | Siskiyou | 170 |
| Solano | 1,350 | Del Norte | 138 |
| Long Beach | 1,243 | Glenn | 132 |
| Butte | 987 | Berkeley | 129 |
| Shasta | 896 | Lassen | 111 |
| Merced | 864 | Mariposa | 79 |
| Ventura | 856 | Plumas | 76 |
| Sonoma | 814 | Modoc | 64 |
| San Mateo | 781 | Trinity | 58 |
| Placer | 649 | Amador | 56 |
| Yolo | 581 | Colusa | 38 |
| Monterey | 556 | Inyo | 29 |
| San Luis Obispo | 501 | Alpine | 10 |
| Pasadena | 492 | Mono | 9 |
| Mendocino | 475 | Sierra | 6 |
| Madera | 470 |  |  |
| Imperial | 466 | Totals | 105,801 |
| Kings | 456 |  |  |

**Notes on Caseload Data Sources:**

The Annual Average Out-of-Home Placement Caseload Data for the HCPCFC are from Child Welfare Services/Case Management System (CWS/CMS) reports prepared by the California Department of Social Services, Research and Development Division.

Total Children in Supervised Out-of-Home Placements by Placement, January 2003 through December 2003, http://www.dss.cahwnet.gov/research/Children`s_405.htm

Total Foster Care Children by County Placement Home, Foster Care Children Placed in the County by Other Counties, January 2003 through December 2003,  CWS/CMS Extract - # 04013, Data Analysis and Publications, Children's Team

Foster Care Children by Placement Home Zip Codes, Annual Report for January through December 2003, CWS/CMS Extract - # 04012, Data Analysis and Publications, Children's Team